Numerical Treatment of Polarisation OrDo numerical models of the first cosmological sources of light give any physical meaning? and Can these (sensible?) models predict the degree of polarisation? or. in other words: What happened after big bang? tl:dr: What is out there?

Marius Berge Eide m.b.eide@astro.uio.no

Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Oslo

April 29, 2014

What is out there?

Probing the universe?

Big Bang

Dark ages

Epoch of Reionisation

The first light

The Epoch of Reionisation (EoR)



Figure: Evolution of the differential brightness temperature $\delta T_b \equiv T_b - T_{\rm CMB}$, where T_b : brightness temperature, $T_{\rm CMB}$: CMB temperature. Top case: Mini-qsos, Middle case: Thermal sources (stars), Bottom case: Hybrid. From Zaroubi (2013).



Figure: Displacement of the Gunn-Peterson through with increasing redshift *z*. From Zaroubi (2013).

Change in optical depth τ_{ν} along a line of sight *s* (Rutten 2003):

$$\mathrm{d}\tau_{\nu}(s) \equiv \alpha_{\nu}(s) \,\mathrm{d}s \tag{1}$$

with α_{ν} : the frequency-dependent (ν) extinction coefficient. The optical depth *increases* if there are extinction events along ds.

- $\tau > 1$: the medium is *optically thick* **opaque**
- $\tau < 1$: the medium is *optically thin* **transparent**

Deterministic models vs. Stochastic models

Deterministic models vs. Stochastic models

$$dI = -I_0 d\tau$$
(2)

with I_0 the initial intensity prior to any scattering events.

Deterministic models vs. Stochastic models

$$dI = -I_0 d\tau \tag{2}$$

with I_0 the initial intensity prior to any scattering events.

$$I(\tau) = I_0 e^{-\tau} \tag{3}$$

Deterministic models vs. Stochastic models

$$dI = -I_0 d\tau \tag{2}$$

with I_0 the initial intensity prior to any scattering events.

$$I(\tau) = I_0 e^{-\tau} \tag{3}$$

The probability distribution:

$$P(\tau) = e^{-\tau} \tag{4}$$

Deterministic models vs. Stochastic models

$$dI = -I_0 d\tau \tag{2}$$

with I_0 the initial intensity prior to any scattering events.

$$I(\tau) = I_0 e^{-\tau} \tag{3}$$

The probability distribution:

$$P(\tau) = e^{-\tau} \tag{4}$$

can be approached by drawing a random number $\mathcal{R} \in [0,1],$ with the requirement

$$\mathcal{R} = \int_0^\tau e^{-\tau'} \mathrm{d}\tau' \tag{5}$$

inverting,

$$\tau(\mathcal{R}) = -\ln\left(1 - \mathcal{R}\right) \tag{6}$$

(Laursen 2010)

"A ray of ordinary light is symmetrical with respect to the direction of propagation. If, for example, this direction be vertical, there is nothing that can be said concerning the north and south sides of the ray that is not equally true concerning the east and west sides. In polarized light this symmetry is lost."

P. 137, John William Strutt, Lord Rayleigh (1902).



Fig. 4. The definition of the polarization vectors for the scattered light. The scattered beam is taken to lie in the xz-plane.

Figure: From Brasken & Kyrola (1998).

Does it matter whether a photon is scattered at the line centre or in the wing?

Does it matter whether a photon is scattered at the line centre or in the wing?

Line centre:

$$p(\theta) = \frac{11}{12} + \frac{3}{12}\cos^2\theta, \qquad \Pi(\theta) = \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\frac{11}{3} + \cos^2\theta}$$
(7)

with: $p(\theta)$: phase function, giving scattering probability and $\Pi(\theta)$: polarisation degree.

Does it matter whether a photon is scattered at the line centre or in the wing?

Line centre:

$$p(\theta) = \frac{11}{12} + \frac{3}{12}\cos^2\theta, \qquad \Pi(\theta) = \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\frac{11}{3} + \cos^2\theta}$$
(7)

with: $p(\theta)$: phase function, giving scattering probability and $\Pi(\theta)$: polarisation degree. Wing:

$$p(\theta) = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4}\cos^2\theta, \qquad \Pi(\theta) = \frac{\sin^2\theta}{1 + \cos^2\theta}$$
(8)

From Dijkstra & Loeb (2008).



Figure: Polarisation degree $\Pi(\theta) = \frac{I_{\parallel} - I_{\perp}}{I_{\parallel} + I_{\perp}}$, here I_i are the components of the intensities following fig. (3). The red solid line is in the case where scattering occurs in the Ly α line centre, where as the dashed blue line is for wing scattering events.



Figure: Histogram showing angle-averaged polarisation as function of scattering events. Line showing the *probability distribution* of the number of scatterings. Numerical model where one has single expanding thin shell of neutral hydrogen around the galaxy. From Dijkstra & Loeb (2008).

Brasken, M., & Kyrola, E. 1998, A&A, 332, 732
Dijkstra, M., & Loeb, A. 2008, MNRAS, 386, 492
John William Strutt, Lord Rayleigh. 1902, in Scientific Papers, Vol. III (Cambridge University Press), 47–189
Laursen, P. 2010, PhD thesis, Dark Cosmology Centre, Niels Bohr Institute Faculty of Science, University of Copenhagen
Rutten, R. J. 2003, Radiative Transfer in Stellar Atmospheres, 8th edn. (Utrecht University lecture notes)

Zaroubi, S. 2013, in Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 396, Astrophysics and Space Science Library, ed. T. Wiklind, B. Mobasher, & V. Bromm, 45